

Leading coefficients of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for an Affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$

Liping Wang

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China;

China Economics and Management Academy,

Central University of Finance and Economics, Beijing, China

wanglp@amss.ac.cn

Abstract

In this paper we compute the leading coefficients $\mu(u, w)$ of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials $P_{u, w}$ for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$. By using the $\mathbf{a}$ -function of a Coxeter group defined by Lusztig (see [L1, §2]), we compute most $\mu(u, w)$ explicitly. With part of these values $\mu(u, w)$, we show that a conjecture of Lusztig on distinguished involutions is true for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$. We also show that the conjectural formula in [L3, (12)] needs a modification.

The Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials of a Coxeter group W play a central role in Kazhdan–Lusztig theory. From [KL1, (2.2.c)] one sees that the leading coefficients $\mu(u, w)$ of some Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials $P_{u, w}$ are very important in understanding the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials. Moreover, the coefficients are of great importance in representation theory and Lie theory, and are related to some cohomology groups and some difficult irreducible characters (see [A, CPS, S]).

In [L3] Lusztig computes the leading coefficients for some Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials of an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$. In [S] for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_5$, some non-trivial leading coefficients are worked out.

McLarnan and Warrington showed that $\mu(u, w)$ can be greater than 1 for a symmetric group (see [MW]). In [X1], Xi showed that when $u \leq w$ and $a(u) < a(w)$, then $\mu(u, w) \leq 1$ if W is a symmetric group or an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_n$. In [SX, Theorem 3.3], Scott and Xi showed that the leading coefficient $\mu(u, w)$ of some Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomial $P_{u,w}$ of an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_n$ is $n + 2$ if $n \geq 4$. In [G], Green showed that when W is a Coxeter group of type $\tilde{A}_{n-1}$ ($n \geq 3$), then $\mu(u, w) \leq 1$ if u is fully commutative. In [J], Jones showed that $\mu(u, w) \leq 1$ when w is a Deodhar element of a finite Weyl group.

In this paper we compute the coefficients $\mu(u, w)$ for an affine Weyl group W of type $\tilde{B}_2$. There are four two-sided cells in W : $c_e = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 0\} = \{e\}$, $c_1 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 1\}$, $c_2 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 2\}$, and $c_0 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 4\}$, where e is the neutral element of W (see [L1, §11.2]). The main results are the following:

- (1) For any $u \leq w$ in W with $(u, w) \notin (c_0 \times c_0) \cup (c_0 \times c_1) \cup (c_0 \times c_2)$, the value $\mu(u, w)$ is determined. The values of $\mu(u, w)$ are displayed in Sections 3, 5, 7 and 8. When $(u, w) \in c_0 \times c_0$, the value $\mu(u, w)$ can be computed by using a formula in the proof for [SX, Theorem 3.1], see [W] for details.
- (2) Using the values of $\mu(u, w)$, we prove that Lusztig’s conjecture on distinguished involutions proposed in 1987 is true for W (see Theorem 2.2) and that the W-graph of type $\tilde{B}_2$ is non-locally finite (see Theorem 8.2 and Remark 8.3).
- (3) The conjectural formula for $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ in [L3, (12)] needs a modification (see Proposition 5.1 and Remark 5.3.)

1 Preliminaries

In this section we recall some basic facts about $\mu(u, w)$ which will be needed later.

1.1 Basic definitions and conventions

Let R be a root system and W_0 its Weyl group. Denote by Λ the weight lattice of R and $\Lambda_r = \mathbb{Z}R$ the root lattice. The semi-direct product $W = W_0 \ltimes \Lambda_r$ is an affine Weyl group and $\tilde{W} = W_0 \ltimes \Lambda$ is an extended affine Weyl group.

We shall denote by S the set of simple reflections of W . There is an abelian subgroup Ω of $\tilde{W}$ such that $\omega S = S\omega$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\tilde{W} = \Omega \ltimes W$. The

length function l of W and the Bruhat order $\leq$ on W can be extended to $\tilde{W}$ by setting $l(\omega w) = l(w)$ and $\omega w \leq \omega' u$ if and only if $\omega = \omega'$ and $w \leq u$, where ω, ω' are in Ω and w, u are in W .

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ be the Hecke algebra of $(\tilde{W}, S)$ over $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ (q an indeterminate) with parameter q . By definition, $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is a free $\mathcal{A}$ -module and has a basis $\{T_w\}_{w \in \tilde{W}}$, its multiplication is defined by the relations $(T_s - q)(T_s + 1) = 0$ if $s \in S$ and $T_w T_u = T_{wu}$ if $l(wu) = l(w) + l(u)$. Let $C_w = q^{-\frac{l(w)}{2}} \sum_{u \leq w} P_{u,w} T_u$, $w \in \tilde{W}$ be its Kazhdan–Lusztig basis, where $P_{u,w} \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ are the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials. (Here the C_w is just the C'_w in [KL1].) The degree of $P_{u,w}$ is less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$ if $u < w$ and $P_{w,w} = 1$. See [X3, 1.6] for more details. The subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ generated by all T_s ($s \in S$) is the Hecke algebra of (W, S) . For ω in Ω and w in W , we have $C_{\omega w} = T_\omega C_w$. Thus we have $P_{\omega u, \omega w} = P_{u,w}$ and $P_{\omega' u, \omega w} = 0$ for any different ω, ω' in Ω and u, w in W . It is known that the coefficients of these polynomials are all non-negative (see [KL2, Corollary 5.6]).

Write $P_{u,w} = \mu(u, w) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)} + \text{lower degree terms}$. The coefficient $\mu(u, w)$ is very interesting, which can be seen even from the recursive formula for Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials [KL1, (2.2.c)]. We call $\mu(u, w)$ the Kazhdan–Lusztig coefficient of $P_{u,w}$. Write $u \prec w$ if $u \leq w$ and $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$. Define $\tilde{\mu}(u, w) = \mu(u, w)$ if $u \leq w$ and $\tilde{\mu}(u, w) = \mu(w, u)$ if $w \leq u$.

The following properties for Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials are known (see [KL1]):

- (a) $P_{u,w} = P_{u^{-1}, w^{-1}}$. In particular, $\mu(u, w) = \mu(u^{-1}, w^{-1})$.
- (b) Let $u, w \in W$, $s \in S$ be such that $u < w$, $su > u$, $sw < w$. Then $u \prec w$ if and only if $w = su$. Moreover, $\mu(u, w) = 1$ in this case.
- (c) Let $u, w \in W$, $s \in S$ be such that $u < w$, $us > u$, $ws < w$. Then $u \prec w$ if and only if $w = us$. Moreover, $\mu(u, w) = 1$ in this case.

We refer to [KL1] for the definition of the preorders $\leq_L, \leq_R, \leq_{LR}$ and of the equivalence relations $\sim_L, \sim_R, \sim_{LR}$ on W . The corresponding equivalence classes are called left cells, right cells, two-sided cells of W , respectively. For $w \in W$, set $\mathcal{L}(w) = \{s \in S \mid sw \leq w\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s \in S \mid ws \leq w\}$. Then we have (see [KL1, Prop. 2.4])

- (d) $\mathcal{R}(w) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(u)$ if $u \leq_L w$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}(w) = \mathcal{R}(u)$ if $u \sim_L w$;
- (e) $\mathcal{L}(w) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(u)$ if $u \leq_R w$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}(w) = \mathcal{L}(u)$ if $u \sim_R w$.

The **a**-function on W is defined in [L1, §2], which is a useful tool to study cells of W . The following result is due to Springer (see [X1, 1.3(a)])

- (f) If $\tilde{\mu}(u, w)$ is non-zero, then (i) $a(u) < a(w)$ implies $w \leq_L u$ and $w \leq_R u$;
- (ii) $a(u) = a(w)$ implies that $u \sim_L w$ or $u \sim_R w$.

Write $C_w C_u = \sum_{z \in W} h_{w,u,z} C_z$, where $h_{w,u,z} \in \mathcal{A}$. Following Lusztig and Springer, we define $\delta_{w,u,z}$ and $\gamma_{w,u,z}$ by the following formula: $h_{w,u,z} = \gamma_{w,u,z} q^{\frac{a(z)}{2}} + \delta_{w,u,z} q^{\frac{a(z)-1}{2}} + \text{lower degree terms}$.

We shall need a result of Lusztig. Fix a subset $S' \subset S$ consisting of two elements s_1, s_2 such that $s_1 s_2$ has order 4 and we denote by W' the subgroup generated by s_1, s_2 . Each coset $W'w$ can be decomposed into four parts: one consists of the unique element u of minimal length, one consists of the unique element u' of maximal length, one consists of the three elements $s_1 u, s_2 s_1 u, s_1 s_2 s_1 u$, and one consists of the three elements $s_2 u, s_1 s_2 u, s_2 s_1 s_2 u$. The last two subsets are called (left) *strings*. We shall regard them as sequences (as above) rather than subsets. The following result is due to Lusztig (see [L1, (10.4.2)]).

(g) Consider two strings u_1, u_2, u_3 and w_1, w_2, w_3 (with respect to S'). Set $a_{ij} = \tilde{\mu}(u_i, w_j)$ if $S' \cap \mathcal{L}(u_i) = S' \cap \mathcal{L}(w_j)$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Then the integers a_{ij} satisfy the identities: $a_{11} = a_{33}, a_{13} = a_{31}, a_{22} = a_{11} + a_{13}$, and $a_{12} = a_{21} = a_{23} = a_{32}$.

We can also define (right) strings and then have similar identities.

1.2 The lowest two-sided cell

In this subsection we collect some facts about the lowest two-sided cell of the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}$.

For any $u = \omega_1 u_1$, $w = \omega_2 w_1$, $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega$, $u_1, w_1 \in W$, we say that $u \leq_L w$ (respectively $u \leq_R w$, respectively $u \leq_{LR} w$) if $u_1 \leq_L w_1$ (respectively $\omega_1 u_1 \omega_1^{-1} \leq_R \omega_2 w_1 \omega_2^{-1}$, respectively $u_1 \leq_{LR} w_1$) (see [X3, §1.11]). The left (respectively right, respectively two-sided) cells of $\tilde{W}$ are defined as those of W . We also define $a(\omega w) = a(w)$ for $\omega \in \Omega, w \in W$.

It is known that (see [Sh1]) $c_0 = \{w \in \tilde{W} \mid a(w) = l(w_0)\}$ is a two-sided cell, which is the lowest one for the partial order $\leq_{LR}$, where w_0 is the longest element of W_0 . We call c_0 the lowest two-sided cell of $\tilde{W}$.

In [SX, §2.1], the authors gave a description of c_0 . Let R^+ (respectively R^- , respectively Δ) be the set of positive (respectively negative, respectively simple) roots in the root system R of W_0 . The set of dominant weights Λ^+ is the set $\{z \in \Lambda \mid l(z w_0) = l(z) + l(w_0)\}$. For each simple root α we denote by s_α the corresponding simple reflection in W_0 and x_α the corresponding fundamental weight. For each $w \in W_0$, we set

$$d_w = w \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ w(\alpha) \in R^-}} x_\alpha.$$

Then $c_0 = \{d_w z w_0 d_u^{-1} \mid w, u \in W_0, z \in \Lambda^+\}$.

(a) An element w is in c_0 if and only if $w = uw_0u'$ for some $u, u' \in \tilde{W}$ such that $l(uw_0u') = l(u) + l(w_0) + l(u')$, see [Sh1].

2 A conjecture of Lusztig

Let $\delta(z)$ be the degree of $P_{e,z}$, where e is the neutral element of W . Then actually one has $l(z) - a(z) - 2\delta(z) \geq 0$ (see [L2]). Set $\mathcal{D}_i = \{d \in W \mid l(d) - a(d) - 2\delta(d) = i\}$. The elements in $\mathcal{D}_0$ are called distinguished involutions of W . In [L2], Lusztig showed that $\mathcal{D}_0$ is finite for affine Weyl groups.

Lusztig has a conjecture to describe $\mathcal{D}_1$ (given in 1987, see [Sh2]).

Conjecture 2.1 Assume that W is a Weyl group or an affine Weyl group. Let $z \in W$. Then z is in $\mathcal{D}_1$ if and only if there exists some $d \in \mathcal{D}_0$ such that $z \sim_{LR} d$ and $\tilde{\mu}(z, d) \neq 0$.

The "only if" part of the conjecture is true, this is due to Shi; see [Sh2]. Moreover, for $z \in W$ and $d \in \mathcal{D}_0$, if $\tilde{\mu}(z, d) \neq 0, z \sim_{LR} d$ and $z \approx_L z^{-1}$, it is not difficult to prove that $z \in \mathcal{D}_1$. This part is due to Springer; see [Sh2]. For a Weyl group W_0 , Lusztig showed that Conjecture 2.1 holds whenever the complex representation of W_0 provided by the two-sided cell of W_0 containing z does not contain the irreducible representation of W_0 of degree 512 for type E_7 or of degree 4096 for type E_8 (see [X1, Sh2]). Also Xi proved that this conjecture is true for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_n$; see [X2, Theorem 1.5].

In section 6 we will prove the following result.

Theorem 2.2 The Conjecture 2.1 is true for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$.

3 The second highest two-sided cell

In this section, we assume that (W, S) is an arbitrary affine Weyl group. The second highest two-sided cell c_1 of W is described in [L4].

Proposition 3.1 We have $c_1 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 1\}$
 $= \{e \neq w \in W \mid w \text{ has a unique reduced expression}\}.$

Then we get following corollary.

Corollary 3.2

- (i) For any $w \in c_1$, $|\mathcal{L}(w)| = |\mathcal{R}(w)| = 1$.
- (ii) Let $w \in c_1$. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(w) = \{s'\}$ and $\mathcal{R}(w) = \{s''\}$, then $w \sim_R s'$

and $w \sim_L s''$.

(iii) For any u, w in c_1 , $u \sim_L w$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$ and $u \sim_R w$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$.

Proof. We only need to prove (ii). By assumption we have $w = w's''$ for some $w' \in W$ and $l(w) = l(w') + 1$. Then we get $w \leq_L s''$. By [L2, Corollary 1.9(b)] and $a(w) = a(s'') = 1$, we get $w \sim_L s''$. Similarly, we get that $w^{-1} \sim_L s'$, then $w \sim_R s'$ follows. $\square$

Now we give the values of $\mu(u, w)$ for $u, w \in c_1$.

Proposition 3.3 Assume that (W, S) is an irreducible affine Weyl group of type different from $\tilde{A}_2$. Then for any $u, w \in c_1$, $u \leq w$, we have

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $u \leq w$ be in c_1 . Assume that $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$. By 1.1 (f) we have $u \sim_L w$ or $u \sim_R w$. If $u \sim_L w$ but $u \not\sim_R w$, then by Corollary 3.2, there exists some $s' \in S$ such that $s'u > u$ and $s'w < w$. By 1.1(b), we get that $w = s'u$ and $\mu(u, w) = 1$. Similarly we can get that $l(w) - l(u) = 1$ and $\mu(u, w) = 1$ if $u \not\sim_L w$ but $u \sim_R w$.

To complete the proof we need to prove that $\mu(u, w) = 0$ for $u \leq w$ in c_1 satisfying $\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$.

First we assume that W is not of type $\tilde{A}_{2m}$, so that the Coxeter graph contains no odd cycles. By Proposition 3.1, it can be checked that $l(w) - l(u)$ is even for any u, w in c_1 satisfying $\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$. We are done in this case.

When W is of type $\tilde{A}_{2m}$ for $m \geq 2$, the result can be proved by using star operation defined in [KL1]. Let $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ be the Coxeter generators, where $n = 2m$. We know that they satisfy relations $(s_i s_{i+1})^3 = 1$, for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $(s_n s_0)^3 = 1$ and $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ for $1 \leq |j-i| \leq n-1$. Using symmetry and star operations we are reduced to the case $u = (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^{l_1}$ for some $l_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w = (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^k$ or $w = (s_1 s_0 s_n s_{n-1} \cdots s_3 s_2)^k s_1 s_0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l_1 \leq k$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of nonnegative integers. We can assume that $k - l_1 = 2k + 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $w = (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^k$,

then by [KL1, Theorem 5.2], we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u, w) &= \mu((s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^{l_1}, (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^k) \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0, (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^{k-l_1+1}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \mu(s_0, s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^{k-l_1}) \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_0, (s_1 s_2 s_3 \cdots s_n s_0)^{k-l_1}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \mu(u', w'),
\end{aligned}$$

where $u' = s_m s_{m-1} \cdots s_2 s_1 s_0 (s_n \cdots s_2 s_1 s_0)^k$ and $w' = s_m s_{m+1} \cdots s_n s_0 (s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n s_0)^k$. We have $l(w') - l(u') = 1$ since $n = 2m$. Because $m \geq 2$ and w has a unique reduced expression, we have $u' \not\leq w'$. Thus we obtain that $\mu(u, w) = \mu(u', w') = 0$ in this case. If $w = (s_1 s_0 s_n \cdots s_3 s_2)^k s_1 s_0$, we can prove that $\mu(u, w) = 0$ similarly.

The proof is completed. $\square$

Proposition 3.4 Let (W, S) be an irreducible affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_2$. Then for any $u, w \in c_1$, $u \leq w$, we have

(i) If $\mathcal{L}(u) \neq \mathcal{L}(w)$ or $\mathcal{R}(u) \neq \mathcal{R}(w)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Part (i) is obvious by Corollary 3.2 and 1.1 (b)–(c). Let s_0, s_1, s_2 be the simple reflections of W , so that $(s_0 s_1)^3 = (s_1 s_2)^3 = (s_0 s_2)^3$.

Assume that $\mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$ and $\mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $u = (s_1 s_2 s_0)^m z$ for some $z \in \{e, s_1, s_1 s_2\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $w = (s_1 s_2 s_0)^n z$ or $w = (s_1 s_0 s_2)^n v$ for some $v \in \{e, s_1, s_1 s_0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may require that $n - m = 2k + 1$ for some nonnegative integer k to ensure that $l(w) - l(u)$ is odd and $u \leq w$.

If $w = (s_1 s_2 s_0)^n z$, then by [KL1, Theorem 4.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u, w) &= \mu((s_1 s_2 s_0)^m, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^n) \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_2 s_0, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m+1}) \\
&= \mu(s_2 s_0, s_2 s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m}) \\
&= \mu(s_0, s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m}) \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_0, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \mu(s_0 (s_2 s_1 s_0)^i, s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m-i}) \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_0 (s_2 s_1 s_0)^i, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m-i}) \\
&= \mu((s_2 s_1 s_0)^{i+1}, s_2 s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{n-m-i-1}) \\
&\vdots \\
&= \mu(s_1 s_0 (s_2 s_1 s_0)^k, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{k+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

If $n - m = 1$, then $k = 0$ and $\mu(u, w) = \mu(s_1 s_0, s_1 s_2 s_0) = 1$. If $n - m > 1$, then $k > 0$ and $s_1 s_0 (s_2 s_1 s_0)^k \not\leq (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{k+1}$. So in this case we have $\mu(u, w) = \mu(s_1 s_0 (s_2 s_1 s_0)^k, (s_1 s_2 s_0)^{k+1}) = 0$.

Now suppose that $w = (s_1 s_0 s_2)^n v$ for some $v \in \{e, s_1, s_1 s_0\}$. We can assume that $z = e$, so that $v = s_1 s_0$. If $k > 0$, then by [KL1, Theorem 4.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(u, w) &= \tilde{\mu}((s_1 s_2 s_0)^m, (s_1 s_0 s_2)^n s_1 s_0) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}(s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^m, s_0 s_2 (s_1 s_0 s_2)^{n-1} s_1 s_0) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}(s_2 s_0 (s_1 s_2 s_0)^m, s_2 (s_1 s_0 s_2)^{n-1} s_1 s_0) \\
&= \tilde{\mu}((s_1 s_2 s_0)^{m+1}, (s_1 s_0 s_2)^{n-1} s_1 s_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This reduces the problem to the case $k = 0$, and we can prove that $\mu(u, w) = 0$ in this case because $u \not\leq w$.

Thus (ii) is true. The proof is completed. $\square$

4 Semilinear equations related to μ

In [L3] Lusztig introduced some semilinear equations, which are useful for calculating some $\mu(u, w)$. In this section we first recall the equations, then give some discussions to the type $\tilde{B}_2$.

We need some notations. Let R^+ be the subset of R containing all positive roots. For any subset $\mathbf{i} \subseteq R^+$, we set $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{i}} \alpha \in \Lambda$. Set $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{R^+}$. Then

ρ is a dominant weight and $w(\rho) - \rho \in \Lambda_r$ for any $w \in W_0$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_r$ we set

$$\Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \subseteq R^+; \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} = \lambda} (-v^2)^{-|\mathbf{i}|}.$$

Note that the summation index $\mathbf{i}$ runs through all subsets $\mathbf{i}$ of R^+ such that λ can be written as the sum of all elements in $\mathbf{i}$. If $0 \neq \lambda$ cannot be written as a sum of distinct positive roots, we set $\Phi(\lambda) = 0$.

Let Λ_r^+ be the set of dominate weights in the root lattice Λ_r , that is $\Lambda_r^+ = \Lambda_r \cap \Lambda^+$. For any $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, we set

$$W_0^\lambda = \{w \in W_0 \mid w(\lambda) = \lambda\}$$

and

$$\pi_\lambda = v^{-\nu_\lambda} \sum_{w \in W_0^\lambda} v^{2l(w)},$$

where ν_λ is the number of reflections of W_0^λ . For λ and λ' in Λ_r^+ we define

$$a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \frac{v^{\nu_{\lambda'}}}{\pi_{\lambda'}} \sum_{w \in W_0} (-1)^{l(w)} \Phi(\lambda' + \rho - w(\lambda + \rho)).$$

Convention: For any element in Λ , we will use the same notation when it is regarded as an element in $\tilde{W}$. For two elements in Λ , the operation between them will be written additively if they are regarded as elements in Λ and will be written multiplicatively when they are regarded as elements in $\tilde{W}$.

Lusztig showed that there is a 1-1 correspondence between Λ_r^+ and the set of $W_0 - W_0$ double cosets in W (an element λ of Λ_r^+ corresponds to the unique double coset $W_0\lambda W_0$ containing it: see [L5, §2]). For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, there is a unique element m_λ of minimal length and a unique element M_λ of maximal length in $W_0\lambda W_0$. We have $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ (i.e. $\lambda' - \lambda \in \mathbb{N}R^+$) if and only if $M_\lambda \leq M_{\lambda'}$ (Bruhat order) for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+$.

Set $v = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $p_{u,w} = v^{l(u)-l(w)} P_{u,w}(v^2) \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$ for $u \leq w \in W$ and $p_{u,w} = 0$ for all other u, w in W . For two elements λ, λ' in Λ_r^+ , set

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \sum_{z \in W_0\lambda W_0} (-v)^{l(m_\lambda)-l(z)} p_{z, m_{\lambda'}}.$$

By [L3, §5], we know

(a) $a_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ is zero unless $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and are equal to 1 when $\lambda = \lambda'$; when $\lambda < \lambda'$ it belongs to $v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$.

(b) $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ is zero unless $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and is equal to 1 when $\lambda = \lambda'$; when $\lambda < \lambda'$ it belongs to $v^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[v^{-1}]$. Also we have $\mu(m_\lambda, m_{\lambda'}) = \text{Res}_{v=0}(b_{\lambda, \lambda'})$, where $\text{Res}_{v=0}(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes the coefficient of v^{-1} in $f \in \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$.

Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the ring involution such that $\bar{v} = v^{-1}$. The following lemma of Lusztig gives a way to compute $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ inductively (see [L3, Proposition 7]).

Lemma 4.1 For any $\lambda, \lambda'' \in \Lambda_r^+$, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+} a_{\lambda, \lambda'} (-1)^{l(m_{\lambda'}) - l(M_{\lambda'})} \pi_{\lambda'} \bar{b}_{\lambda', \lambda''} = \sum_{\lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+} \bar{a}_{\lambda, \lambda'} (-1)^{l(m_{\lambda'}) - l(M_{\lambda'})} \pi_{\lambda'} b_{\lambda', \lambda''}.$$

In the rest of this paper, R is a root system of type B_2 . Then (W, S) is an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$ and $\tilde{W}$ is an extended affine Weyl group associated with R .

Let α and β be the long and short simple root of R respectively. Then the set R^+ of positive roots in R consists of the following four elements: $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, \alpha + 2\beta$. The fundamental dominant weights are

$$x = \alpha + \beta \quad \text{and} \quad y = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + \beta.$$

So the set Λ^+ of dominant weights consists of the elements $mx + ny$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also we have

$$\Lambda_r^+ = \{mx + 2ny \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{i\alpha + j\beta \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \leq j \leq 2i\}.$$

Let s and t be the simple reflections in S corresponding to α and β respectively. The Weyl group W_0 is generated by s and t . The set S contains a unique element out of W_0 , denoted by r . Then W is generated by r, s, t . We have $rt = tr$, $(rs)^4 = (st)^4 = e$.

Define X_1 (respectively X_2) to be the subsets of Λ_r^+ consisting of elements mx , $m \geq 1$ (respectively $2my$, $m \geq 1$). Let Y_1 (respectively Y_2) be the subsets of Λ_r^+ consisting of elements $x + 2my$, $m \geq 1$ (respectively $nx + 2my$, $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$). Set $X = X_1 \cup X_2$, $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$. We have $\Lambda_r^+ = X \cup Y \cup \{0\}$.

The following result is needed in calculating $a_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ and $b_{\lambda', \lambda}$.

Proposition 4.2 Let λ be in $X \cup Y$. We have

- (i) If $\lambda \in X_1$ then $W_0^\lambda = \{e, t\}$. If $\lambda \in X_2$ then $W_0^\lambda = \{e, s\}$. If $\lambda \in Y$ then $W_0^\lambda = \{e\}$.
- (ii) $\nu_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \lambda \in X, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \in Y. \end{cases}$

$$(iii) \pi_\lambda = \begin{cases} v + v^{-1}, & \text{if } \lambda \in X, \\ 1, & \text{if } \lambda \in Y. \end{cases}$$

(iv)

$$m_\lambda = \begin{cases} r(stsr)^{m-1}, & \text{if } \lambda = mx \in X_1, \\ rsr(tsr)^{2m-2}, & \text{if } \lambda = 2my \in X_2, \\ rsr(tsr)^{2m-1}, & \text{if } \lambda = x + 2my \in Y_1, \\ (rst)^{2m-1}(srst)^{n-2}srstsr, & \text{if } \lambda = nx + 2my \in Y_2. \end{cases}$$

$$(v) (-1)^{l(m_\lambda) - l(M_\lambda)} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \lambda \in X, \\ 1, & \text{if } \lambda \in Y. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the action of a Weyl group on the corresponding weight lattice, a well known result says that the stabilizer of a dominant weight is generated by the simple reflections stabilizing the dominant weight. The simple reflections in W_0 are s, t and we have $s(x) = x - \alpha = 2y - x$, $s(y) = y$, $t(x) = x$, $t(y) = y - \beta = x - y$. Thus (i) follows from these facts. Also (i) can be proved by a direct calculation since W_0 only contains 8 elements.

(ii) is just a consequence of (i), since ν_λ is the number of reflections in W_0^λ . We know that $\pi_\lambda = v^{-\nu_\lambda} \sum_{w \in W_0^\lambda} v^{2l(w)}$, thus (iii) can be checked by (i) and (ii).

Now we prove (iv). When $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, in $\tilde{W}$ we have $\lambda w_0 = w_0 \lambda^{-1}$ and $l(\lambda w_0) = l(w_0) + l(\lambda)$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, there is a unique $w \in W_0$ such that (1) $\lambda = wm_\lambda$, (2) $l(\lambda) = l(w) + l(m_\lambda)$, (3) $\mathcal{L}(m_\lambda) = \{r\}$.

In $\tilde{W}$ we have $x = stsr$ and $y = \omega rsr$, where $\omega \in \Omega$ satisfies $\omega t = r\omega$. Thus $y^2 = tstrsr = tsrtsr$.

If $\lambda = mx \in X_1$, then in $\tilde{W}$ we have $\lambda = (stsr)^m = stsr(stsr)^{m-1}$. Therefore $m_\lambda = r(stsr)^{m-1}$. If $\lambda = 2my \in X_2$, we get that $\lambda = (tstrsr)^m = tstrsr(tstrsr)^{m-1}$. So $m_\lambda = rsr(tstrsr)^{m-1} = rsr(tsr)^{2m-2}$, since $tr = rt$.

The longest element in W_0 is $w_0 = stst$. When $\lambda = x + 2my \in Y_1$, in $\tilde{W}$ we have $\lambda = stsr(tstrsr)^m = stsrtstrsr(tstrsr)^{m-1}$. Since $tr = rt$, we get $\lambda = w_0 rsrtstr(tstrsr)^{m-1} = w_0 rsr(tsr)^{2m-1}$. Hence $m_\lambda = rsr(tsr)^{2m-1}$.

Now assume that $\lambda = nx + 2my \in Y_2$. Set $\lambda_1 = x + 2my$, $\lambda_2 = (n-1)x$. Then in $\tilde{W}$ we have $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 = w_0 rsr(tsr)^{2m-1}(stsr)^{n-1}$. Thus $m_\lambda = rsr(tsr)^{2m-1}(stsr)^{n-1}$. Noting that $rsrs = srsr$, $tsts = stst$ and $tr = rt$, we see $m_\lambda = (rst)^{2m-1}(srst)^{n-2}srstsr$. Thus (iv) holds.

Since for $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, in $\tilde{W}$ we have $\lambda w_0 = w_0 \lambda^{-1}$ and $l(\lambda w_0) = l(w_0) + l(\lambda)$, so $M_\lambda = \lambda stst$. Thus we have $M_\lambda = stsm_\lambda stst$ if $\lambda \in X_1$, $M_\lambda = tstm_\lambda stst$ if $\lambda \in X_2$. Also $M_\lambda = ststm_\lambda stst$ if $\lambda \in Y$ since $\lambda = w_0 m_\lambda$ in this case. Hence (v) holds. The proof is complete. $\square$

A simple computation leads to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(0) &= 1, \\
\Phi(\alpha) &= \Phi(\beta) = -v^{-2}, \\
\Phi(\alpha + \beta) &= \Phi(\alpha + 2\beta) = v^{-4} - v^{-2}, \\
\Phi(2\alpha + 2\beta) &= \Phi(2\alpha + 3\beta) = v^{-4} - v^{-6}, \\
\Phi(2\alpha + \beta) &= \Phi(\alpha + 3\beta) = v^{-4}, \\
\Phi(3\alpha + 3\beta) &= \Phi(2\alpha + 4\beta) = -v^{-6}, \\
\Phi(3\alpha + 4\beta) &= v^{-8} \text{ and } \Phi(\lambda) = 0 \text{ for all other } \lambda \text{ in } \Lambda_r.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above formulas we can compute $a_{\lambda, \lambda'}$, which are needed in determining $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ next section.

Proposition 4.3 Let λ and λ' be in Λ_r^+ . Assume that $0 < \lambda < \lambda'$ and $\lambda' = i\alpha + j\beta$ ($i \leq j \leq 2i$).

(i) If $\lambda' - \lambda \neq \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, \alpha + 2\beta, \alpha + 3\beta, 2\alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + 2\beta, 2\alpha + 3\beta, 2\alpha + 4\beta, 3\alpha + 3\beta$ or $3\alpha + 4\beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 0$.

(ii) If $\lambda' - \lambda = \alpha$ or β , we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = -v^{-2}$.

(iii) If $\lambda' - \lambda = \alpha + \beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } j = i, \\ -v^{-2}, & \text{when } j = 2i - 1, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(iv) If $\lambda' - \lambda = \alpha + 2\beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \begin{cases} -v^{-2}, & \text{when } j = i + 1, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{when } j > i + 1. \end{cases}$

(v) If $\lambda' - \lambda = \alpha + 3\beta$ or $2\alpha + \beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = v^{-4}$.

(vi) If $\lambda' - \lambda = 2\alpha + 2\beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } j > i, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-6}, & \text{when } j = i. \end{cases}$

(vii) If $\lambda' - \lambda = 2\alpha + 3\beta$, we have

$$a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \begin{cases} v^{-4}, & \text{when } j = 2i - 1 \text{ or } j = i + 1, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-6}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(viii) If $\lambda' - \lambda = 2\alpha + 4\beta$ or $3\alpha + 3\beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = -v^{-6}$.

(ix) If $\lambda' - \lambda = 3\alpha + 4\beta$, we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = v^{-8}$.

Proof. By definition, ρ is the half of the sum of positive roots in R^+ . So we have $\rho = \frac{3}{2}\alpha + 2\beta$. Thus $\rho - s(\rho) = \alpha$, $\rho - t(\rho) = \beta$, $\rho - ts(\rho) = \alpha + 3\beta$, $\rho - st(\rho) = 2\alpha + \beta$, $\rho - sts(\rho) = 3\alpha + 3\beta$, $\rho - tst(\rho) = 2\alpha + 4\beta$ and $\rho - stst(\rho) = 3\alpha + 4\beta$, since $s(\alpha) = -\alpha$, $s(\beta) = \alpha + \beta$, $t(\alpha) = \alpha + 2\beta$ and $t(\beta) = -\beta$. Since $0 < \lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, it is easy to see that $sts(\lambda)$, $tst(\lambda)$ and $stst(\lambda) = -\lambda$ are all less than 0. For a weight $\nu = a\alpha + b\beta$ in Λ_r^+ , set $h(\nu) = a + b$. Then we have

$$h(\lambda' + \rho - w(\lambda + \rho)) = h(\lambda' - w(\lambda) + \rho - w(\rho)) \geq 8$$

if $w = sts, tst$ or $stst$. As a consequence, we have $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - w(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$ when $w = sts, tst$ or $stst$.

Now we are ready to compute $a_{\lambda, \lambda'}$.

(i) If $\lambda' - \lambda = n\beta$ for $n \geq 2$, we have $\lambda = i\alpha + (j - n)\beta$. Then $i + n \leq j \leq 2i$ since $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda'$. Clearly we have $\Phi(\lambda' - \lambda) = 0$. Note that

$$\lambda' + \rho - s(\lambda + \rho) = (2i - j + n + 1)\alpha + n\beta,$$

$$\lambda' + \rho - t(\lambda + \rho) = (2j - 2i - n + 1)\beta,$$

$$\lambda' + \rho - ts(\lambda + \rho) = (2i - j + n + 1)\alpha + (2i + n + 3)\beta$$

and

$$\lambda' + \rho - st(\lambda + \rho) = (j - n + 2)\alpha + (2j - 2i - n + 1)\beta.$$

We have $2i - j + n + 1 \geq 3$ and $2i - j + n + 1 > n$, so $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - s(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$. Since $2j - 2i - n + 1 \geq n + 1 \geq 3$, we get $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - t(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$. From $2i + n + 3 \geq 3n + 3 \geq 9$, one sees that $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - ts(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$. Finally $j - n + 2 \geq n + 2 \geq 4$ (note that $i \geq n$) implies that $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - st(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$.

Therefore $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 0$ if $\lambda' - \lambda = n\beta$ for $n \geq 2$. Similarly we have $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 0$ if $\lambda' - \lambda = n\alpha$ for $n \geq 2$.

Also, by a similar computation we see $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = 0$ if $\lambda' - \lambda$ is one of the following elements: $n\alpha + \beta$ ($n \geq 3$), $\alpha + n\beta$ ($n \geq 4$), $n\alpha + 2\beta$ ($n \geq 3$), $2\alpha + n\beta$ ($n \geq 5$), $n\alpha + 3\beta$ ($n \geq 4$), $3\alpha + n\beta$ ($n \geq 5$), $n\alpha + 4\beta$ ($n \geq 4$), $m\alpha + n\beta$ ($m \geq 4$, $n \geq 5$). Part (i) is proved.

(ii) If $\lambda' - \lambda = \beta$, then $\lambda = i\alpha + (j - 1)\beta$. Since $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda'$, we have $2 \leq i + 1 \leq j \leq 2i$ and $i \geq 1$. Thus

$$\lambda' + \rho - s(\lambda + \rho) = (2i - j + 2)\alpha + \beta,$$

$$\lambda' + \rho - t(\lambda + \rho) = (2j - 2i)\beta,$$

$$\lambda' + \rho - ts(\lambda + \rho) = (2i - j + 2)\alpha + (2i + 4)\beta$$

and

$$\lambda' + \rho - st(\lambda + \rho) = (j + 1)\alpha + (2j - 2i)\beta.$$

So we get $\Phi(\lambda' - \lambda) = -v^{-2}$, $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - s(\lambda + \rho)) = \Phi(2\alpha + \beta) = v^{-4}$ if $j = 2i$ and $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - s(\lambda + \rho)) = \Phi((2i - j + 2)\alpha + \beta) = 0$ if $j < 2i$, and $\Phi(\lambda' + \rho - t(\lambda + \rho)) = \Phi(\lambda' + \rho - ts(\lambda + \rho)) = \Phi(\lambda' + \rho - st(\lambda + \rho)) = 0$.

Thus we get $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = \frac{v}{v+v^{-1}}(-v^{-2} - v^{-4}) = -v^{-2}$ when $j = 2i$ and $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = -v^{-2}$ when $j < 2i$. That is, $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = -v^{-2}$ if $\lambda' - \lambda = \beta$.

Similarly we get $a_{\lambda, \lambda'} = -v^{-2}$ if $\lambda' - \lambda = \alpha$.

Part (ii) is proved. For parts (iii)–(ix), the arguments are similar. $\square$

In [L1, §11.2], Lusztig described the left cells and two-sided cells of (W, S) . For any subset J of $S = \{r, s, t\}$, we denote by W^J the set of all $w \in W$ such that $\mathcal{R}(w) = J$. In the following we use the elements in J to denote J . Then (W, S) has 16 left cells: $A_{rs} = W^{rs}$, $A_{rt} = A_{rst}$, $A_s = A_{rts}$, $A_r = A_{rst}$, $A_{st} = W^{st}$, $A'_{rt} = A_{st}r$, $A'_s = A'_{rt}s$, $A_t = A'_st$, $B_{rt} = W^{rt} - (A_{rt} \cup A'_{rt})$, $B_s = B_{rts}$, $B_r = B_sr$, $B_t = B_st$, $C_r = W^r - (A_r \cup B_r)$, $C_t = W^t - (A_t \cup B_t)$, $C_s = W^s - (A_s \cup A'_s \cup B_s)$, $D_\emptyset = W^\emptyset = \{e\}$.

Set $c_e = D_\emptyset$, $c_1 = C_r \cup C_s \cup C_t$, $c_2 = B_r \cup B_s \cup B_t \cup B_{rt}$, and $c_0 = A_r \cup A_s \cup A'_s \cup A_t \cup A_{rs} \cup A_{st} \cup A_{rt} \cup A'_{rt}$.

From [L1, §11.2], we know that c_e, c_1, c_2, c_0 exhaust two-sided cells of W . We have $c_e = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 0\} = \{e\}$, $c_1 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 1\}$, $c_2 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 2\}$, and $c_0 = \{w \in W \mid a(w) = 4\}$.

When u, w in c_1 with $u \leq w$, the value $\mu(u, w)$ is given in Proposition 3.3. In the following sections we compute $\mu(u, w)$ for other pairs (u, w) except those in $(c_0 \times c_0) \cup (c_0 \times c_1) \cup (c_0 \times c_2)$.

5 Computing $\mu(u, w)$ for $(u, w) \in c_2 \times c_2$

Set $U = \{e, s, ts, rs\}$ and $V = \{e, s, st, sr\}$, then $c_2 = \{urt(srt)^m v \mid u \in U, v \in V, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We will compute $\mu(u, w)$ for $u, w \in c_2$ by means of the semilinear equations in Section 4. To do this we first compute the $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ for $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda_r^+$. Our main results in this section are Theorems 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9.

(a) Let m be a positive integer and $\lambda_i = m\alpha + (m+i)\beta$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Then we have

$$b_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = m-1, \\ v^{-1}, & \text{if } i = m-2 = 0, \\ -v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-2 \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m-3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By 4 (b) we have $b_{\lambda_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = 1$. By Proposition 4.3 (ii-i), we have

(1) $a_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}} = -v^{-2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ and $a_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j} = 0$ if $j - i \geq 2$.

Since $\lambda_0 = mx$ is in X and $\lambda_i = (m-i)x + 2iy$ is in Y for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, by Proposition 4.2 we see

(2) $(-1)^{l(m\lambda_i) - l(M\lambda_i)}$ is -1 if $i = 0$ and is 1 if $i \geq 1$,

(3) $\pi_{\lambda_0} = v + v^{-1}$ and $\pi_{\lambda_i} = 1$ if $i \geq 1$.

Now using Lemma 4.1 for λ_i ($0 \leq i \leq m-2$), λ_{m-1} , and 4 (a), we get

(4) $\bar{b}_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\lambda_{i+1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = b_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\lambda_{i+1}, \lambda_{m-1}}$ if $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ and

(5) $-(v + v^{-1}) \bar{b}_{\lambda_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_{m-1}} = -(v + v^{-1}) b_{\lambda_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\lambda_1, \lambda_{m-1}}$.

Assume $m = 2$. Since $b_{\lambda_1, \lambda_1} = 1$, by (5) we have $-(v + v^{-1}) \bar{b}_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} - v^{-2} = -(v + v^{-1}) b_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} - v^2$. So $\bar{b}_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} - v = b_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} - v^{-1}$, which follows that $b_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1} = v^{-1}$ by 4.2 (b).

Now assume that $m \geq 3$. By (4) and 4 (b) we get $b_{\lambda_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = -v^{-2}$. Applying 4 (b), (4) when $i = m-3 \geq 1$ and (5) when $m = 3$ we get that $b_{\lambda_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$. Now using induction on i , (4) and (5) we see easily that $b_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ if $0 \leq i \leq m-3$. This is the last part of (a). $\square$

(b) Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Set $\lambda_i = m\alpha + (m+i)\beta$ and $\gamma_i = (m-1)\alpha + (m-1+i)\beta$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Then we have

$$b_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} v^{-1}, & \text{if } i = m-1 \geq 2 \text{ or } i = m-2 = 0, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-2 \geq 1, \\ -v^{-3}, & \text{if } i = m-3 = 0, \\ v^{-4}, & \text{if } i = m-3 \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } i = m-1 = 1 \text{ or if } 0 \leq i \leq m-4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, we have

(1) $a_{\gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}} = a_{\gamma_{i+1}, \lambda_i} = -v^{-2}$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ and $a_{\gamma_i, \gamma_j} = 0$ if $j - i \geq 2$;

$$(2) a_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-2}} = \begin{cases} -v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-3 = 0, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-2 \geq 1 \text{ or } i = m-3 \geq 1, \\ v^{-4}, & \text{if } i = m-4, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \leq m-5 \text{ or } i = m-2 = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(3) a_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} -v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-1 \text{ or } i = m-2 = 0, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m-2 \geq 1, \\ v^{-4}, & \text{if } i = m-3, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \leq m-4. \end{cases}$$

Since $\gamma_0 = (m-1)x$ and $\gamma_{m-1} = 2(m-1)y$ are in X and $\gamma_i = (m-1-i)x + 2iy$ is in Y for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-2$, we see

$$(4) (-1)^{l(m\gamma_i) - l(M\gamma_i)} = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } m-1, \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m-2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and}$$

$$(5) \pi_{\gamma_i} = \begin{cases} v + v^{-1}, & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } m-1, \\ 1, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m-2. \end{cases}$$

By (a), we have $b_{\lambda_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ if $0 \leq i \leq m-3$, $b_{\lambda_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = 1$, $b_{\lambda_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-1}$ if $m = 2$ and $b_{\lambda_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = -v^{-2}$ if $m \geq 3$. By the proof of (a), we have $(-1)^{l(m\lambda_i) - l(M\lambda_i)} = \pi_{\lambda_i} = 1$ if $i \geq 1$, $(-1)^{l(m\lambda_0) - l(M\lambda_0)} = -1$ and $\pi_{\lambda_0} = v + v^{-1}$.

Let $\xi = v + v^{-1}$ and $\eta = v^{-4} - v^{-2}$, $\bar{\eta} = v^4 - v^2$. Now using (1)–(5), Lemma 4.1 for γ_i ($0 \leq i \leq m-1$), λ_{m-1} , and 4 (a–b) we get

(6) If $m = 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v^{-1} \xi - v^{-2} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v \xi - v^2, \\ -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v^{-2} \xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v^2 \xi b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2; \end{aligned}$$

(7) If $m \geq 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} + 1 - v^{-2} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} + 1 - v^2, \\ \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v^{-2} \xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 \eta + \eta &= b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} + v^2 \xi b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{\eta} + \bar{\eta}, \\ \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 \eta + v^{-4} &= b_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{\eta} + v^4 \\ \text{for } m \geq 4, \\ \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-4}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} &= b_{\gamma_{m-4}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 \text{ for } \\ m \geq 5, \\ \bar{b}_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_{i+1}, \lambda_{m-1}} &= b_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_{i+1}, \lambda_{m-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq m-5, \\ -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} + 1 + v^{-4} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} + 1 + v^4 \text{ for } \\ m = 3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 \text{ for } m = 4, \\ -\xi \bar{b}_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^{-2} \bar{b}_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} &= -\xi b_{\gamma_0, \lambda_{m-1}} - v^2 b_{\gamma_1, \lambda_{m-1}} \text{ for } m \geq 5. \end{aligned}$$

Using 4 (b) and (6), we see $b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ and $b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-1}$ if $m = 2$.

Assuming $m \geq 3$. Using 4 (b) and (7), we get $b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-1}$; $b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-4} - v^{-2}$; $b_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}}$ is $-v^{-3}$ if $m = 3$, is v^{-4} if $m \geq 4$; and $b_{\gamma_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-4$.

Thus (b) is proved. $\square$

(c) Let $m \geq 3$ be a positive integer. Set $\nu_i = (m-2)\alpha + (m-2+i)\beta$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-2$ and $\lambda_{m-1} = m\alpha + (2m-1)\beta$. Then we have

$$b_{\nu_i, \lambda_{m-1}} = \begin{cases} -v^{-3}, & \text{if } i = m-2, \\ v^{-5}, & \text{if } i = m-3 = 0, \\ -v^{-6}, & \text{if } i = m-3 \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m-4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of (b). $\square$

(d) Let $\lambda_{m-1} = m\alpha + (2m-1)\beta$ and $\lambda = n\alpha + n'\beta$, where m, n, n' are positive integers satisfying $n \leq n' \leq 2n$ and $1 \leq n \leq m-3$. Then we have $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$.

Proof. We prove it by descending induction on the partial order $\leq$ in Λ_r^+ .

First we show that $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ for $\lambda = (m-3)\alpha + 2(m-3)\beta$.

Note that $m \geq 4$. By (a)–(c), we have the following observation.

(1) $b_{\nu_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} = -v^{-6}$, $b_{\nu_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = -v^{-3}$, $b_{\gamma_{m-3}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-4}$, $b_{\gamma_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-4} - v^{-2}$, $b_{\gamma_{m-1}, \lambda_{m-1}} = v^{-1}$, $b_{\lambda_{m-2}, \lambda_{m-1}} = -v^{-2}$, here ν_i , γ_i , λ_i are as in (c)–(a). Moreover, $b_{\lambda', \lambda_{m-1}} \neq 0$ for other λ' in Λ_r^+ with $\lambda < \lambda' \leq \lambda_{m-1}$.

By Proposition 4.3, we have

(2) $a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-3}} = -v^{-2}$, $a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-2}} = v^{-4} - v^{-2}$, $a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-3}} = v^{-4} - v^{-6}$, $a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-2}} = v^{-4}$, $a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-1}} = -v^{-6}$, $a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-2}} = v^{-8}$, $a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$.

For $\lambda' = \gamma_i$, λ_i , the values of $(-1)^{l(m_{\lambda'})-l(M_{\lambda'})}$ and $\pi_{\lambda'}$ are determined in the proofs of (a) and (b). For $\lambda' = \nu_i$, λ , it is easy to determine the values of $(-1)^{l(m_{\lambda'})-l(M_{\lambda'})}$ and $\pi_{\lambda'}$ by using Proposition 4.2. Using (1–2), Lemma 4.1 and 4 (a–b), we get that $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ for $\lambda = (m-3)\alpha + 2(m-3)\beta$ if $m \geq 4$.

Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$ be such that $\lambda < (m-3)\alpha + 2(m-3)\beta$. We show that $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$. The induction hypothesis says that $b_{\lambda', \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ for those $\lambda < \lambda' \leq (m-3)\alpha + (2m-6)\beta$.

If $\lambda = n\alpha + 2n\beta \in X_2$, then $n \leq m-4$, $m \geq 5$. By Proposition 4.3, we have

(3) $a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-3}} = a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-2}} = a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-3}} = a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-2}} = a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-1}} = a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-2}} = a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ if $m-n \geq 5$; and $a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-3}} = v^{-4}$, $a_{\lambda, \nu_{m-2}} = -v^{-6}$, $a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-3}} = v^{-8}$, $a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-2}} = a_{\lambda, \gamma_{m-1}} = a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-2}} = a_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$ if $m-n = 4$.

Using (1), induction hypothesis, (3), Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, we can get that $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$.

Similarly, for $\lambda = n\alpha + n\beta$ ($1 \leq n \leq m-3$) or $\lambda = n\alpha + (n+i)\beta$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq n \leq m-3$) we can prove that $b_{\lambda, \lambda_{m-1}} = 0$.

The proof is finished. $\square$

A reformulation of (a)–(d) is the following result.

Proposition 5.1 Let $\lambda'' = m\alpha + (2m-1)\beta \in Y_1$ for some integer $m \geq 2$.

For any $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$ such that $\lambda \leq \lambda''$, we have

(i) If $\lambda = n\alpha + 2n\beta \in X_2$ for some $n \geq 2$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-1}, & \text{if } n = m-1, \\ -v^{-3}, & \text{if } n = m-2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $\lambda = n\alpha + n\beta \in X_1$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-1}, & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ or } 2 \text{ and } m = 2, \\ v^{-5}, & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } m = 3, \\ -v^{-3}, & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } m = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\lambda = n\alpha + (2n - 1)\beta \in Y_1$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = m, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } n = m - 1, \\ -v^{-6}, & \text{if } n = m - 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $\lambda = x^n y^{2n'} = (n' + n)\alpha + (2n' + n)\beta \in Y_2$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} -v^{-2}, & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } n' = m - 2, \\ v^{-4}, & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } n' = m - 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly to (a)–(d), we have the following results (e)–(h).

(e) Let m be a positive integer and $\lambda_i = m\alpha + (m + i)\beta$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then we have

$$b_{\lambda_i, \lambda_m} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = m, \\ v^{-1} + v^{-3}, & \text{if } i = m - 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m - 2. \end{cases}$$

(f) Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer. Set $\gamma_i = (m - 1)\alpha + (m - 1 + i)\beta$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$ and $\lambda_m = m\alpha + 2m\beta$. Then we have

$$b_{\gamma_i, \lambda_m} = \begin{cases} v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m - 1, \\ v^{-4} + v^{-2}, & \text{if } i = m - 2 = 0, \\ -v^{-3} - v^{-5}, & \text{if } i = m - 2 \geq 1, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m - 3. \end{cases}$$

(g) Let $m \geq 3$ be a positive integer. Set $\nu_i = (m - 2)\alpha + (m - 2 + i)\beta$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m - 2$ and $\lambda_m = m\alpha + 2m\beta$. Then we have

$$b_{\nu_i, \lambda_m} = \begin{cases} -v^{-6}, & \text{if } i = m - 2, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i \leq m - 3. \end{cases}$$

(h) Let $\lambda = m'\alpha + m''\beta$ and $\lambda_m = m\alpha + 2m\beta \in X_2$, where m, m', m'' be positive integers such that $m' < m'' < 2m'$ and $1 \leq m' \leq m - 3$. Then we have $b_{\lambda, \lambda_m} = 0$.

We can reformulate (e)–(h) as follows:

Proposition 5.2 Let $\lambda'' = m\alpha + 2m\beta \in X_2$ for some integer $m \geq 2$. For any $0 \neq \lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$ such that $\lambda \leq \lambda''$, we have that

(i) If $\lambda = n\alpha + 2n\beta \in X_2$ for $n \geq 2$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = m, \\ v^{-4} - v^{-2}, & \text{if } n = m - 1, \\ -v^{-6}, & \text{if } n = m - 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $\lambda = n\alpha + n\beta \in X_1$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-4} + v^{-2}, & \text{if } m = 2, n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $\lambda = n\alpha + (2n - 1)\beta \in Y_1$ for $2 \leq n \leq m$, then

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-1} + v^{-3}, & \text{if } n = m, \\ -v^{-3} - v^{-5}, & \text{if } n = m - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $\lambda = x^n y^{2n'} = (n' + n)\alpha + (2n' + n)\beta \in Y_2$, then $b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = 0$.

Remark 5.3 In [L3, (12)], Lusztig gave a conjectural formula on $b_{\lambda, \lambda'}$ which says it is likely that, in the case where $\check{\alpha}_{s'}(\lambda') \geq 1$ for all $s' \in I$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_r^+$, we have

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda'} = (-1)^{l(m_\lambda) - l(m_{\lambda'})} \frac{1}{\pi_\lambda} \sum_{w \in W_I} (-1)^{l(w)} \Phi(w(\lambda' - \rho) - (\lambda - \rho)).$$

By Proposition 5.1 (i), we see that this conjectural formula is not true. By [L3, (12)], we get that $b_{(m-1)\alpha + (2m-2)\beta, m\alpha + (2m-1)\beta} = 0$, which contradicts to Proposition 5.1 (i).

Now we can compute $\mu(u, w)$ for $u, w \in c_2$. In [L3], Lusztig computed $\mu(rsr(tsr)^n, rsr(tsr)^m)$ for n is odd and m is even.

Proposition 5.4 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m < n$ then we have

$$\mu(rsr(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We first assume that $n = 2k - 1$ and $m = 2k'$ for some $k > k' \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\lambda'' = (k + 1)\alpha + (2k + 1)\beta$ and $\lambda = (k' + 1)\alpha + 2(k' + 1)\beta$. Then we

get $m_{\lambda''} = rsr(tsr)^{2k-1} = rsr(tsr)^n$ and $m_{\lambda} = rsr(tsr)^{2k'} = rsr(tsr)^m$ by Proposition 4.2 (iv). By Proposition 5.1 (i), we get that

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-1}, & \text{if } k' = k - 1, \\ -v^{-3}, & \text{if } k' = k - 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, by the fact that $\mu(m_{\lambda}, m_{\lambda''})$ equals to the coefficient of v^{-1} in $b_{\lambda, \lambda''}$, we get that $\mu(m_{\lambda}, m_{\lambda''}) = \mu(rsr(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = 1$ if and only if $n - m = 1$; otherwise $\mu(rsr(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = 0$.

When n is even and m is odd, the result can be proved similarly by Proposition 5.2 (iii). If $m - n$ is even, then $\mu(rsr(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = 0$ is trivial. We complete the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Let u and w be elements in c_2 . By 1.1 (f), we know that if $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$ then $u \sim_L w$ or $u \sim_R w$. First we compute $\mu(u, w)$ for those u, w such that $u \sim_L w$ and $u \sim_R w$. After that we deal with the case $u \sim_L w$ but $u \not\sim_R w$ and the case $u \not\sim_L w$ but $u \sim_R w$.

Lemma 5.5 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m < n$ then we have

$$\mu(r(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have two left strings with respect to $S' = \{r, s\}$:

$$u_1 = r(tsr)^m, \quad u_2 = sr(tsr)^m, \quad u_3 = rsr(tsr)^m$$

and

$$w_1 = r(tsr)^n, \quad w_2 = sr(tsr)^n, \quad w_3 = rsr(tsr)^n.$$

By 1.1 (g), we get that

$$\mu(r(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n) = \mu(rsr(tsr)^m, r(tsr)^n).$$

Since $t \in \mathcal{L}(r(tsr)^n) \setminus \mathcal{L}(rsr(tsr)^m)$, then we get the result using 1.1 (b). $\square$

Lemma 5.6 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m < n$ then we have

$$\mu(r(tsr)^m ts, rsr(tsr)^n ts) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 5.5 $\square$

For any two integers m, n , we get two left strings with respect to $S' = \{r, s\}$:

$$u_1 = r(tsr)^m, \quad u_2 = sr(tsr)^m, \quad u_3 = rsr(tsr)^m$$

and

$$w_1 = r(tsr)^n, \quad w_2 = sr(tsr)^n, \quad w_3 = rsr(tsr)^n.$$

By 1.1 (g), we have

$$\mu(r(tsr)^m, r(tsr)^n) = \mu(rsr(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n)$$

and

$$\mu(sr(tsr)^m, sr(tsr)^n) = \mu(r(tsr)^m, r(tsr)^n) + \mu(r(tsr)^m, rsr(tsr)^n).$$

Similarly, we get $\mu(sr(tsr)^m ts, sr(tsr)^n ts)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu(r(tsr)^m ts, r(tsr)^n ts) + \mu(r(tsr)^m ts, rsr(tsr)^n ts) \\ &= \mu(rsr(tsr)^m ts, rsr(tsr)^n ts) + \mu(r(tsr)^m ts, rsr(tsr)^n ts) \\ &= \mu((rst)^{m+1} rs, (rst)^{n+1} rs) + \mu(r(tsr)^m ts, rsr(tsr)^n ts). \end{aligned}$$

By 1.1 (a), we have

$$\mu((rst)^{m+1} rs, (rst)^{n+1} rs) = \mu(sr(tsr)^{m+1}, sr(tsr)^{n+1}).$$

We also get the following identities:

$$\mu(r(tsr)^m t, r(tsr)^n t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu(rsr(tsr)^m t, rsr(tsr)^n t) = \mu((rst)^{m+1} r, (rst)^{n+1} r) \\ &= \mu(r(tsr)^{m+1}, r(tsr)^{n+1}) = \mu(rsr(tsr)^{m+1}, rsr(tsr)^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(rsr(tsr)^m tst, rsr(tsr)^n tst) &= \mu(rsr(tsr)^m t, rsr(tsr)^n t) \\ &= \mu(r(str)^{m+1}, r(str)^{n+1}) \\ &= \mu((rst)^{m+1} r, (rst)^{n+1} r) \\ &= \mu(r(tsr)^{m+1}, r(tsr)^{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

(regarded as right strings with respect to $S' = \{s, t\}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu((rts)^{m+1} t, (rts)^{n+1} t) &= \mu(r(tsr)^m tst, r(tsr)^n tst) \\ &= \mu(r(tsr)^m t, r(tsr)^n t), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(sr(tsr)^m t, sr(tsr)^n t) &= \mu(st(rst)^m r, st(rst)^n r) \\ &= \mu(st(rst)^m rsr, st(rst)^n rsr) \\ &= \mu(sr(tsr)^{m+1}, sr(tsr)^{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\mu(sr(tsr)^m tst, sr(tsr)^n tst) = \mu(sr(tsr)^m t, sr(tsr)^n t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu((tsr)^m tst, (tsr)^n tst) &= \mu((tsr)^m t, (tsr)^n t) \\
&= \mu(t(str)^m, t(str)^n) \\
&= \mu((rts)^m t, (rts)^n t).
\end{aligned}$$

With these identities, Proposition 5.4, Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7 For elements $u, w \in c_2$ such that $u < w$, $u \sim_L w$ and $u \sim_R w$, we have

(i) If s is not in $\mathcal{L}(u) \cup \mathcal{R}(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $s \in \mathcal{L}(u) \setminus \mathcal{R}(u)$ or $s \in \mathcal{R}(u) \setminus \mathcal{L}(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $s \in \mathcal{L}(u) \cap \mathcal{R}(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The only thing to notice is the fact: for any $u, w \in c_2$, $u \sim_L w \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$ and $u \sim_R w \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$. $\square$

In the following we compute $\mu(u, w)$ for those $u, w \in c_2$ satisfying (1) $u \leq w$, (2) $u \sim_L w$ and $u \not\sim_R w$, or $u \sim_R w$ and $u \not\sim_L w$.

Theorem 5.8 Let $u, w \in c_2$ with $u \leq w$. Assume that $u \sim_L w$ and $u \not\sim_R w$. We have

(i) If $\mathcal{L}(w) \not\subseteq \mathcal{L}(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{L}(w) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 5, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Obviously, we have the fact: for any $u, w \in c_2$, $u \sim_L w \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(u) = \mathcal{R}(w)$ and $u \sim_R w \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}(u) = \mathcal{L}(w)$. By the assumption on u, w , we get $R(u) = R(w)$ but $L(u) \neq L(w)$. The proof of (i) is trivial by 1.1(b).

Now we assume that $L(w) \subseteq L(u)$, thus $L(u) = \{r, t\}$, $L(w) = \{r\}$ or $\{t\}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $L(w) = \{r\}$. Then

$$u \in \{rt(str)^m, rt(str)^ms, rt(str)^msr, rt(str)^mst \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

$$w \in \{r(str)^n, r(str)^ns, r(str)^nsr, r(str)^nst \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

If $u = rt(str)^m = r(ts)^mt$, then $w = r(str)^n = rsr(ts)^{n-1}t$. By 1.1 (g), we get $\mu(r(ts)^mt, rsr(ts)^{n-1}t) = \mu(rsr(ts)^mt, r(ts)^{n-1}t)$. Since $t \in L(r(ts)^{n-1}t) \setminus L(rsr(ts)^mt)$, then by 1.1 (b) we get that

$$\mu(rsr(ts)^mt, r(ts)^{n-1}t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we get

$$\mu(rt(str)^m, r(str)^n) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mu(rt(str)^ms, r(str)^ns) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu(rt(str)^msr, r(str)^nsr) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu(rt(str)^mst, r(str)^nst) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n - m = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the assumption, we know that in this case $n - m = 2$ is equivalent to $l(w) - l(u) = 5$, thus (ii) holds. $\square$

Similarly, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.9 Let $u, w \in c_2$ with $u \leq w$. Assume that $u \sim_R w$ and $u \approx_L w$. We have

(i) If $R(w) \not\subseteq R(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $R(w) \subseteq R(u)$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 5, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

6 The proof of Theorem 2.2

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 2.2. The only thing we need to prove is: for some $d \in \mathcal{D}_0$, if $z \sim_L z^{-1} \sim_L d$ and $\tilde{\mu}(z, d) \neq 0$, then $z \in \mathcal{D}_1$.

If $d \in c_1$ and $\tilde{\mu}(z, d) \neq 0$, then by the proof of Theorem 3.3 we know that $z \sim_L d$ and $z^{-1} \sim_L d$ cannot hold at the same time. Also, if $d \in c_0$ and $\tilde{\mu}(z, d) \neq 0$, we know that $z \sim_L d$ and $z^{-1} \sim_L d$ cannot hold at the same time, too (see the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [SX]).

Now we assume that $d \in c_2$ and $z \sim_L d \sim_L z^{-1}$. Then we get $L(z) = R(z) = L(d)$. By Theorem 5.7, those z satisfying $z \sim_L z^{-1} \sim_L d$ and $\tilde{\mu}(d, z) \neq 0$ for some $d \in \mathcal{D}_0 \cap c_2$ are just $rtstr, strstrs, rstrstrsr, tstrstrsr$. By some computations, we can check that these four elements are all in $\mathcal{D}_1$. The values of the $\mathbf{a}$ -function and the length function on these elements are obvious. We just need to get the degree $\delta(w)$ of polynomials $P_{e,w}$ for these w by using the formula in [KL1, (2.2.c)]. For example, we have $P_{e,rtstr} = P_{r,rtstr} = P_{tr,rtstr} = P_{r,rstr} + qP_{tr,rstr} = q + 1$. Thus we have $l(rtstr) = 5$, $a(rtstr) = 2$ and $\delta(rtstr) = 1$. Therefore $rtstr \in \mathcal{D}_1$. With the same method, we can check that $strstrs, rstrstrsr, tstrstrsr$ are all in $\mathcal{D}_1$. Therefore, Conjecture 2.1 holds for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$.

Remark 6.1 In fact, we can show that the set $\mathcal{D}_1$ is finite for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$ by Theorem 3.3, Theorem 5.7 and some results about $\mu(u, w)$ for $u < w$ and $(u, w) \in c_0 \times c_0$. For more details see [W].

7 Computing $\mu(u, w)$ for $a(u) < a(w)$

In this section we will compute $\mu(u, w)$ clearly for those $u < w$ such that $a(u) < a(w)$. We will see that in this case $\mu(u, w) \leq 1$.

Theorem 7.1 For $u < w$ such that $a(u) = 1$ or 2 and $a(w) = 4$, we have

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By 1.1 (f), if $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$ we have $w \leq_L u$ and $w \leq_R u$. Then by 1.1 (d) and (e), if $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$ we have $R(u) \subseteq R(w)$ and $L(u) \subseteq L(w)$. If $R(u) \subsetneq R(w)$ or $L(u) \subsetneq L(w)$, the result is obvious by 1.1(b) and (c). In the following we assume that $R(u) = R(w)$ and $L(u) = L(w)$.

(1) Assume that $a(u) = 1$. If $L(u) = L(w) = \{s\}$, we have $L(sw) = \{r, t\}$, $L(su) = \{r\}$ or $\{t\}$ or $\emptyset$. Then there exists some $s' \in S$ such that

$s' \in L(sw) \setminus L(su)$. By [KL1, (2.2.c)], we have

$$P_{u,w} = P_{su,sw} + qP_{u,sw} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z \prec sw \\ sz \leq z}} \mu(z, sw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(sw)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

Also we have $P_{su,sw} = P_{s'su,sw}$ and $P_{u,sw} = P_{rtu,sw}$. If $s'su = sw$, we have $\mu(u, w) = 1$. If $l(w) - l(u) > 1$ we get $\deg P_{su,sw} < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$ and $\deg(qP_{u,sw}) < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$. By the non-negativity of the coefficients of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials, we get $\mu(u, w) = 0$ if $l(w) - l(u) \neq 1$.

If $L(u) = L(w) = \{r\}$, we can assume that $L(ru) \neq \emptyset$, since if $u = r$ the proof is similar to the above. We have $L(ru) = L(rw) = \{s\}$ and $a(ru) = 1$, $a(rw) = 4$. By [KL1, (2.2.c)], we have

$$P_{u,w} = P_{ru,rw} + qP_{u,rw} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z \prec rw \\ rz \leq z}} \mu(z, rw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(rw)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

If $l(w) - l(u) > 1$, by the above proof, we get $\deg P_{ru,rw} < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$. Moreover, $P_{u,rw} = P_{su,rw}$, $L(su) = L(rw) = \{s\}$. But $l(rw) - l(su) \neq 1$, then we get $\deg(qP_{u,rw}) < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$ by the above proof. Thus $\mu(u, w) = 0$ in this case.

If $L(u) = L(w) = \{t\}$, the proof is similar to the case $L(u) = L(w) = \{r\}$.

(2) Assume that $a(u) = 2$. The proof is similar to (1). The only thing to note is the following facts: If $L(u) = L(w) = \{r, t\}$, then there exists some $s' \in \{r, t\}$ such that $L(s'w) = \{s, s''\}$, where $s' \neq s'' \in \{r, t\}$, and $L(s'u) = \{s''\}$. We have $P_{u,s'w} = P_{s''su,s'w}$, where $s''su \geq su \geq u$. Then we consider the identity

$$P_{u,w} = P_{s'u,s'w} + qP_{u,s'w} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z \prec s'w \\ s'z \leq z}} \mu(z, s'w) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(s'w)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

If $L(u) = L(w) = \{s\}$, we have $L(su) = L(sw) = \{r, t\}$. This case is trivial.

If $L(u) = L(w) = \{r\}$, we have $L(ru) = L(rw) = \{s\}$. If $l(w) - l(u) > 1$, we get $P_{u,rw} = P_{su,rw}$ and $L(su) = \{r, s\}$. We consider the identity

$$P_{u,w} = P_{ru,rw} + qP_{u,rw} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z \prec rw \\ rz \leq z}} \mu(z, rw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(rw)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

If $\mu(su, rw) \neq 0$, we take $z = su$ in the sum, then the item $\mu(su, rw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(w)-l(u)-1)}$ appearing in the sum is killed by $qP_{u,rw}$.

If $L(u) = L(w) = \{t\}$, the proof is similar to the case $L(u) = L(w) = \{r\}$. We complete the proof. $\square$

We define a subset E of $c_1 \times c_2$ by
 $E = \{(st, srtst), (sr, srtsr), (r, rsrtsr), (t, tsrtst), (rst, rsrtst), (tsr, tsrtsr)\}$.
We have the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2 For $u < w$ such that $a(u) = 1$ and $a(w) = 2$, we have

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } l(w) - l(u) = 1 \text{ or } (u, w) \in E, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By 1.1 (f), if $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$ we get $R(u) \subseteq R(w)$ and $L(u) \subseteq L(w)$. If $R(u) \subsetneq R(w)$ or $L(u) \subsetneq L(w)$ the result is obvious by 1.1(b) and (c). In the following we assume that $L(u) = L(w) = \{s'\}$ and $R(u) = R(w) = \{s''\}$.

(1) If $s' = s, s'' = r$. We have $u = (stsr)^m$ or $u = sr(stsr)^{m'}$, $w = (str)^n sr$ for some $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq m' \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u = (stsr)^m, w = (str)^n sr$ for some $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L(su) = \{t\}, L(sw) = \{r, t\}$. Consider the identity

$$P_{u,w} = P_{su,sw} + qP_{u,sw} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z < sw \\ sz \leq z}} \mu(z, sw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(sw)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

We get $P_{su,sw} = P_{rsu,sw}$ and $P_{u,sw} = P_{rtu,sw}$. If $l(w) - l(u) = 1$ (i.e. $m = n = 1$) we get $\mu(u, w) = 1$. Otherwise, we have $\deg P_{su,sw} < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$, $\deg(qP_{u,sw}) < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$, thus $\mu(u, w) = 0$.

If $u = sr(stsr)^{m'}, w = (str)^n sr$ for some $0 \leq m' \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L(su) = \{r\}, L(sw) = \{r, t\}$. We get $P_{su,sw} = P_{tsu,sw}$ and $P_{u,sw} = P_{rtu,sw}$. In this case there is no $m', n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $tsu = sw$, thus $\deg P_{su,sw} < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$. Also we get $P_{rtu,sw} = 1$ if $m' = 0, n = 1$. Otherwise, $\deg(qP_{u,sw}) < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$. Then we get $\mu(u, w) = 1$ if $u = sr, w = srtsr$; otherwise $\mu(u, w) = 0$.

(1') The proofs for cases (i) $s' = s, s'' = t$; (ii) $s' = r, s'' = s$ and (iii) $s' = t, s'' = s$ are similar to (1).

(2) If $s' = r, s'' = t$. We have $u = r(stsr)^m st, w = r(str)^n st$ for some $0 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $L(ru) = L(rw) = \{s\}$. Consider the identity

$$P_{u,w} = P_{ru,rw} + qP_{u,rw} - \sum_{\substack{u \leq z < rw \\ rz \leq z}} \mu(z, rw) q^{\frac{1}{2}(l(rw)-l(z)+1)} P_{u,z}.$$

By (1'), we know that $\mu(ru, rw) = 1$ if $m = 0$ and $n = 1$; $\mu(ru, rw) = 0$ otherwise. Moreover, $P_{u,rw} = P_{su,rw}$ with $su > u$ and $a(su) = 1$. By (1'), we

know that $\mu(su, rw) = 1$ if $m = 0, n = 1$; otherwise $\mu(su, rw) = 0$. Then we get that $\mu(u, w) = 1$ if $u = rst, w = rstrst$; otherwise $\mu(u, w) = 0$.

(2') The case $s' = t, s'' = r$ is similar to (2).

(3) If $s' = s'' = r$. We get $u = r(stsr)^m, w = r(str)^n sr$ for some $0 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $m = 0$ (i.e $u = r$) we get $\mu(r, w) = 1$ if $w = rsrtsr$; otherwise $\mu(r, w) = 0$. In the following we assume that $m \geq 1$, thus we get $L(ru) = L(rw) = \{s\}$. By (1), we know that $\mu(ru, rw) = 1$ if $m = n = 1$; otherwise $\mu(ru, rw) = 0$. Moreover, we have $P_{u, rw} = P_{su, rw}$ with $su > u$ and $a(su) = 1$. By (1'), we know that $\mu(su, rw) = 1$ if $m = 0, n = 1$; otherwise $\mu(su, rw) = 0$. Thus we get the result.

(3') The case $s' = s'' = t$ is similar to (3).

(4) If $s' = s'' = s$ we shall prove that $\mu(u, w) = 1$ if $l(w) - l(u) = 1$, otherwise, $\mu(u, w) = 0$.

We have $u \in \{(stsr)^m s, (stsr)^m sts, sr(stsr)^m s, sr(stsr)^m sts \mid 0 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $w = (str)^n s$ for some $1 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $u = s$ we can compute that $\mu(s, w) = 0$ easily. In the following we assume that $u \neq s$.

If $u = (stsr)^m s, w = (str)^n s$ for some $1 \leq m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $L(su) = \{t\}, L(sw) = \{r, t\}$. Then we get $\mu(su, sw) = 1$ if $l(w) - l(u) = 1$, otherwise, $\mu(su, sw) = 0$. Also, we have $P_{u, sw} = P_{rtu, sw} \neq 1$, thus $\deg(qP_{u, sw}) < \frac{1}{2}(l(w) - l(u) - 1)$. So we get $\mu(u, w) = 1$ if $l(w) - l(u) = 1$, otherwise, $\mu(u, w) = 0$.

If $u \in \{(stsr)^m sts, sr(stsr)^m s, sr(stsr)^m sts \mid 0 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, the proof is similar. We complete the proof of the theorem. $\square$

8 Computing $\mu(u, w)$ for $(u, w) \in c_2 \times c_1$

In this section we compute $\mu(u, w)$ clearly for $a(u) = 2$ and $a(w) = 1$. We'll see that $\mu(u, w) \leq 1$ in this case.

Proposition 8.1 For any $1 \leq n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\mu(rsr(tsr)^n, (rstst)^m r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, m \geq 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.4. In the following we assume that n is odd, otherwise $\mu(rsr(tsr)^n, (rstst)^m r) = 0$. Let $\lambda = \frac{n+3}{2}\alpha + (n+2)\beta = xy^{n+1}$ and $\lambda'' = (m+1)\alpha + (m+1)\beta = x^{m+1}$, then we have $m_\lambda = rsr(tsr)^n$ and $m_{\lambda''} = (rstst)^m r$, where m_λ and $m_{\lambda''}$ are the unique elements of minimal length in $W_0\lambda W_0$ and $W_0\lambda'' W_0$ respectively. By Section

4.1, we know that $\mu(rsr(tsr)^n, (rst)^m r) = \text{Res}_{v=0} b_{\lambda, \lambda''}$. Due to Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3, we can get that

$$b_{\lambda, \lambda''} = \begin{cases} v^{-1} + v^{-3}, & \text{if } n = 1, m = 2, \\ v^{-1} - v^{-5}, & \text{if } n = 1, m \geq 3, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the result is obvious. $\square$

Following this proposition we can get our main result in this section.

Theorem 8.2 For $u < w$ satisfying $a(u) = 2$ and $a(w) = 1$, we have

(i) If $L(w) = R(w) = \{s\}$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = srts, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $L(w) = \{s\}, R(w) = \{s'\}$, where $s' = r$ or t , then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = srtss' \text{ or } srt, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $L(w) = \{s'\}, R(w) = \{s\}$, where $s' = r$ or t , then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = s'srts \text{ or } rts, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) If $L(w) = R(w) = \{s'\}$, where $s' = r$ or t , $w \neq tsrst$ and $rstsr$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in \{s'srtss', rtss', s'srt, rt\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $L(w) = R(w) = \{s'\}$, where $s' = r$ or t , $w = tsrst$ or $rstsr$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in \{rtss', s'srt, rt\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iv) If $L(w) = \{s'\}, R(w) = \{s''\}$, where $s' \neq s'' \in \{r, t\}$, $w \neq rst(srst)^2$ and $tsr(stsr)^2$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in \{s'srtss'', rtss'', s'srt, rt\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $L(w) = \{s'\}$, $R(w) = \{s''\}$, where $s' \neq s'' \in \{r, t\}$, $w = rst(srst)^2$ or $tsr(stsr)^2$, then

$$\mu(u, w) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u \in \{rtsrt, s'srtss'', rtss'', s'srt, rt\}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. For any $u < w$ satisfying $a(u) = 2$ and $a(w) = 1$, if $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$, by 1.1 (d, e, f) we get $L(w) \subseteq L(u)$ and $R(w) \subseteq R(u)$.

We prove (iii) first. We assume that $L(w) = R(w) = \{r\}$, then $w = (rst)^m r$ for some integer $m \geq 1$ and $L(u)$, $R(u) = \{r\}$ or $\{r, t\}$. If $m = 1$, the claim is very easy to prove. In the following we assume that $m \geq 2$. Consider the two left strings w.r.t $\{r, s\}$: $u_1 = r(tsr)^n$, $u_2 = sr(tsr)^n$, $u_3 = rsr(tsr)^n$ and $w_1 = s(tsr)^{m-1}tsr = (stsr)^m$, $w_2 = rs(tsr)^{m-1}tsr = (rst)^m r$, $w_3 = rsr(tsr)^{m-1}tsr = s(rst)^m r = (srst)^m sr$.

By 1.1 (g), we get $\mu(u_1, w_2) = \mu(u_3, w_2)$, i.e. $\mu(r(tsr)^n, (rst)^m r) = \mu(rs(tsr)^n, (rst)^m r)$. Similarly, we get $\mu(rt(str)^n, (rst)^m r) = \mu(r(str)^{n+1}, (rst)^m r)$ (left strings w.r.t $\{r, s\}$). When $L(w) = R(w) = \{t\}$, we can also get the similar result. Using the facts $\mu(u, w) = \mu(u^{-1}, w^{-1})$ and Proposition 8.1, we can get (iii).

We now prove (ii). Assume that $L(w) = \{s\}$ and $R(w) = \{r\}$, then $w \in \{(srst)^m sr, (stsr)^m \mid m \geq 1\}$. By 1.1 (g), we get $\mu((str)^n sr, (stsr)^m) = \mu((str)^n sr, (srst)^m sr) = \mu(rsr(tsr)^n, (rst)^m r)$ (left strings w.r.t $\{r, s\}$) and $\mu((str)^n sr, (srst)^m sr) = \mu((str)^n, (srst)^m sr) = \mu((str)^n sr, (srst)^m sr)$ (right strings w.r.t $\{r, s\}$). Then (ii) holds with the same reason of (iii).

The proofs of (i) and (iv) are very similar to those of (ii) and (iii). $\square$

Remark 8.3 (1) From Theorem 8.2, we see that the W-graph of type $\tilde{B}_2$ is nonlocally finite. More specifically, we have some element u in W such that there are infinitely many elements w in W satisfying $\mu(u, w) \neq 0$. This is one of the main interests of [L3] (the definition of W-graph can be found in [KL1]).

(2) For those $u < w$ satisfying $a(u) = 4$ and $a(w) = 1$ or 2, we can only get part of the leading coefficients. For example, we have $\mu(u, w) = 0$ when u and w are both of the minimal length in their double cosets $W_0 u W_0$ and $W_0 w W_0$, respectively. As a consequence, we determine all $\mu(u, w)$ for those $u < w$ satisfying $l(w) - l(u) \leq 3$.

Acknowledgment

The results of this paper were obtained during my PhD. studies at Chinese Academy of Sciences. I would like to express deep gratitude to my supervisor Professor N.Xi, whose guidance and support were crucial for the successful completion of this paper. Also I must thank Professor G.Lusztig for his very helpful answers to my questions about this paper. I am very grateful to the referee for careful reading and valuable comments, which improve the paper significantly.

References

- [A] H.H. Andersen, *An inversion formula for the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for affine Weyl groups*, Adv. in Math. **60**, no. **2** (1986), 125–153.
- [BZ] A.D. Berenstein, A.V. Zelevinsky, *Tensor product multiplicities and convex polytopes in partition space*, J. Geom. Phys. **5**, no. **3** (1988), 453–472.
- [CPS] E. Cline, B. Parshall, L. Scott, W. van der Kallen, *Rational and generic cohomology*, Invent. Math. **39**, no. **2** (1977), 143–163.
- [G] R.M. Green, *Leading Coefficients Of Kazhdan–Lusztig Polynomials And Fully Commutative Elements*, (preprint; arXiv: 0801.1650), to appear in the Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics.
- [J] B.C. Jones, *Leading coefficients of Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for Deodhar elements*, J. of Algebraic Combinatorics, **volume 29** (2009), 229–260.
- [KL1] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Representations of Coxeter groups and Hecke algebras*, Invent. Math. **53** (1979), 165–184.
- [KL2] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality*, Geometry of the Laplace operator(Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1980), 185–203.
- [L1] G. Lusztig, *Cells in Affine Weyl groups*, Algebraic Groups and Related Topics, in: Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **6**, Kinokunia-North-Holland, (1985), 255–287 .

- [L2] G. Lusztig, *Cells in Affine Weyl groups, II*, J. Alg. **109** (1987), 536–548.
- [L3] G. Lusztig, *Nonlocal finiteness of a W -Graph*, Representation Theory **1** (1996), 25–30.
- [L4] G. Lusztig, *Some examples of square integrable representations of semisimple p -adic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277**, no. **2** (1983), 623–653.
- [L5] G. Lusztig, *Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analog of weight multiplicities*, Astérisque **101-102**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, (1983).
- [MW] T. McLarnan, G. Warrington, *Counterexamples to the $(0,1)$ -Conjecture*, Represent Theory **7** (2003), 181–195.
- [S] L. Scott, *Some new examples in 1-cohomology, Special issue celebrating the 80th birthday of Robert Steinberg*. J. Alg. **260**, no. **1** (2003), 416–425.
- [Sh1] J.-Y. Shi, *A two-sided cell in an affine Weyl group I* , J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1987), 407–420.
- [Sh2] J.-Y. Shi, *The joint relations and the set $\mathcal{D}_1$ in certain crystallographic groups*, Adv. in Math. **81** (1990), 66–89.
- [SX] L. Scott, N. Xi, *Some Non-Trivial Kazhdan–Lusztig Coefficients of an Affine Weyl group of Type $\tilde{A}_n$* , preprint, 2006.
- [W] Liping Wang, *Leading coefficients of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for an affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{B}_2$* , (preprint; arXiv: 0805.3463v1).
- [X1] N. Xi, *The leading coefficient of certain Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials of the permutation group $\mathcal{S}_n$* , J. Alg. **285** (2005), 136–145.
- [X2] N. Xi, *On the Characterization of the Set $\mathcal{D}_1$ of the Affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_n$* , Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **40**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, (2004), Representation theory of algebraic groups and quantum groups, 483–490.
- [X3] N. Xi, *Representations of Affine Hecke algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1587**, Springer-Verlag (1994).